$\mathfrak{K}$-families and CPD-H-extendable families

Santanu Dey and Harsh Trivedi

arXiv:1409.3655v1 [math.OA] 12 Sep 2014

Abstract: We introduce, for any set S, the concept of $\mathfrak{K}$-family between two Hilbert C^*-modules over two C^*-algebras, for a given completely positive definite (CPD-) kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ over S between those C^*-algebras and obtain a factorization theorem for such $\mathfrak{K}$-families. If $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel and E is a full Hilbert C^*-module, then any $\mathfrak{K}$-family which is covariant with respect to a dynamical system (G, η, E) on E, extends to a $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$-family on the crossed product $E\times_\eta G$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$ is a CPD-kernel. Several characterizations of $\mathfrak{K}$-families, under the assumption that E is full, are obtained and covariant versions of these results are also given. One of these characterizations says that such $\mathfrak{K}$-families extend as CPD-kernels, between associated (extended) linking algebras, whose $(2,2)$-corner is a homomorphism and vice versa. We discuss a dilation theory of CPD-kernels in relation to $\mathfrak{K}$-families.

MSC:2010 46L07, 46L08, 46L53, 46L55
keywords: completely positive definite kernels, dilations, crossed product, Hilbert C^*-modules, Kolmogorov decomposition, linking algebras

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra and E be a vector space which is a right $\mathcal{B}$-module satisfying $\alpha(xb) = (\alpha x)b = x(\alpha b)$ for $x \in E, b \in \mathcal{B}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The space E is called an *inner-product $\mathcal{B}$-module* if there exists a mapping $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : E \times E \to \mathcal{B}$ such that

(i) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for $x \in E$ and $\langle x, x\rangle = 0$ only if $x = 0$,

(ii) $\langle x, yb\rangle = \langle x, y\rangle b$ for $x, y \in E$ and for $b \in \mathcal{B}$,

(iii) $\langle x, y\rangle = \langle y, x\rangle^*$ for $x, y \in E$,

(iv) $\langle x, \mu y + \nu z\rangle = \mu\langle x, y\rangle + \nu\langle x, z\rangle$ for $x, y, z \in E$ and for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$.

An inner-product $\mathcal{B}$-module E which is complete with respect to the norm

$$\|x\| := \|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{1/2} \text{ for } x \in E$$

is called a *Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module* or *Hilbert C^*-module over $\mathcal{B}$*. It is said to be *full* if the closure of the linear span of $\{\langle x, y\rangle : x, y \in E\}$ equals $\mathcal{B}$. We use the symbol [S] for the

closure of the linear span of any set S. Also for each $x \in E$ we use notation $|x|$ to denote $\langle x, x\rangle^{1/2}$. Paschke and Rieffel (cf. [Rie74], [Pas73]) contributed to the theory of Hilbert C^*-modules immensely in early 1970s and it finds applications in the classification of C^*-algebras, the dilation theory of semigroups of completely positive maps, the theory of quantum groups, etc..

Apart from the notion of Hilbert C^*-module, the property of complete positivity is a key concept needed in this article. A linear mapping τ from a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is called *completely positive* if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_j^* \tau(b_j^* b_i) c_i \geq 0$ where $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$ are from $\mathcal{B}$ and $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$ are from $\mathcal{C}$. The theory of completely positive maps plays an important role in operator algebras, quantum statistical mechanics, quantum information theory, etc.. Completely positive maps between unital C^*-algebras are characterized by the Paschke's GNS construction (cf. Theorem 5.2, [Pas73]). Let E be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, F be a Hilbert $\mathcal{C}$-module and τ be a linear map from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. A map $T : E \to F$ is called *τ-map* if

$$\langle T(x), T(y)\rangle = \tau(\langle x, y\rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

Skeide in [Ske12] developed a factorization theorem for τ-maps when τ is completely positive based on Paschke's GNS contruction. This theorem generalizes the Stinespring type theorem for Hilbert C^*-modules due to Bhat, Ramesh and Sumesh (cf. [BRS12]). Certain related covariant versions of this theorem have been explored in [Joi11] and [Heo99].

The following definition of completely positive definite (CPD-) kernels on arbitrary set S, which plays a crucial role in exploring the theory of CPD-semigroups over S, is from [BBLS04]:

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be C^*-algebras. By $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ we denote the set of all bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. For a set S we say that a mapping $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is a* completely positive definite kernel *or a* CPD-kernel *over S from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ if*

$$\sum_{i,j} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i, \sigma_j}(b_i^* b_j) c_j \geq 0 \text{ for all finite choices of } \sigma_i \in S,\ b_i \in \mathcal{B},\ c_i \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The notion of a completely multi-positive map which is introduced in [Heo99] is an example of a CPD-kernel over the finite set $S = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. CPD-kernels over the set $S = \{0, 1\}$ and semigroups of CPD-kernels were first studied by Accardi and Kozyrev in [AK01]. Motivated by the definition of τ-map, we define $\mathfrak{K}$-family, where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel, in Section 2. Some of the results about τ-maps from [Ske12] and [SS14] are extended to $\mathfrak{K}$-families in this article.

In Section 2, for a CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ we show that any $\mathfrak{K}$-family $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ factorizes in terms of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$, a mapping from the set S to $\mathcal{F}$ and an isometry, if the corresponding C^*-algebras are assumed to be unital. The factorization result is a Stinespring type theorem. Further, we prove a covariant version of this theorem in terms of the following notions: Let G be a locally compact group and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra. We call a group homomorphism $\alpha : G \to Aut(\mathcal{B})$ an *action of G on $\mathcal{B}$*. If $t \mapsto \alpha_t(b)$ is continuous for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then we call $(G, \alpha, \mathcal{B})$ a *C^*-dynamical system*. We denote

by $\mathcal{UB}$ the group of all unitary elements of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and use symbol α_t for the image of $t \in G$ under α.

Definition 1.2. *Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a kernel over S with values in the bounded maps from a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ to a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let $u : G \to \mathcal{UC}$ be a unitary representation of a locally compact group G. The kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is called* u-covariant *with respect to the $(G, \alpha, \mathcal{B})$ if for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$*

$$\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha_t(b)) = u_t \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b) u_t^* \text{ for } b \in \mathcal{B},\ t \in G.$$

Let E and F be Hilbert C^*-modules over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. A map $T : E \to F$ is called *adjointable* if there exists a map $T' : F \to E$ such that

$$\langle T(x), y\rangle = \langle x, T'(y)\rangle \text{ for all } x \in E, y \in F.$$

The map T' is unique for each T and we denote it by T^*. We denote the set of all adjointable maps from E to F by $\mathcal{B}^a(E, F)$, and if $E = F$, then we denote by $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ the space $\mathcal{B}^a(E, E)$. The set of all bounded right linear maps from E into F will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}^r(E, F)$. Let E be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and let F be a Hilbert $\mathcal{C}$-module. A map $\Psi : E \to F$ is said to be a *morphism of Hilbert C^*-modules* if there exists a C^*-algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y)\rangle = \psi(\langle x, y\rangle) \text{ for all } x, y \in E.$$

If E is full, then ψ is unique for Ψ. A bijective map $\Psi : E \to F$ is called an *isomorphism of Hilbert C^*-modules* if Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are morphisms of Hilbert C^*-modules. We denote the group of all isomorphisms of Hilbert C^*-modules from E to itself by $Aut(E)$.

Definition 1.3. *Let G be a locally compact group and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra. Let E be a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. A group homomorphism $t \mapsto \eta_t$ from G to $Aut(E)$ is called a* continuous action of G on E *if $t \mapsto \eta_t(x)$ from G to E is continuous for each $x \in E$. In this case we call the triple (G, η, E) a* dynamical system on the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E.

Any C^*-dynamical system $(G, \alpha, \mathcal{B})$ can be regarded as a dynamical system on the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{B}$. In Section 2 we also examine the extendability of covariant $\mathfrak{K}$-families with respect to any dynamical system (G, η, E) on a Hilbert C^*-module E to the crossed product Hilbert C^*-module $E \times_\eta G$. Let $E^* := \{x^* : x \in E\} \subset \mathcal{B}^a(E, \mathcal{B})$ where $x^* y := \langle x, y\rangle$ for all $x, y \in E$. Then $\mathcal{K}(E) := [EE^*]$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$. Indeed, E^* is a Hilbert $\mathcal{K}(E)$-module where $\langle x^*, y^*\rangle := xy^*$ for all $x, y \in E$. The (extended) *linking algebra* of E is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_E := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} & E^* \\ E & \mathcal{B}^a(E) \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{B} \oplus E).$$

(cf. [Ske01]). It is shown in Section 3 that for any CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K}$, the $\mathfrak{K}$-family on full Hilbert C^*-modules is same as the set of maps defined on the Hilbert C^*-modules which extend as a CPD-kernel between their linking algebras. A characterization of such $\mathfrak{K}$-families is obtained in terms of completely bounded maps between certain Hilbert

C^*-modules. We derive the covariant versions of the above results too. In Section 4, as an application of our theory we propose and explore a new dilation theory of any CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ associated to a family of maps between certain Hilbert C^*-modules. This dilation is called a CPDH-dilation and under additional assumptions, the family of maps between the Hilbert C^*-modules becomes a $\mathfrak{K}$-family.

2 $\mathfrak{K}$-families and crossed products of Hilbert C^*-modules

Definition 2.1. *Let E and F be Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a kernel. Let $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ be a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. The family $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is called* $\mathfrak{K}$-family *if*

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x') \rangle = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x, x' \rangle), \text{ for } x, x' \in E, \ \sigma, \sigma' \in S.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be C^*-algebras. We recall that a *C^*-correspondence* from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as a right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E together with a $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ where $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ is the set of all adjointable operators on E. The left action of $\mathcal{A}$ on E given by ϕ is defined as

$$ay := \phi(a)y \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, y \in E.$$

The following theorem deals with the factorization of $\mathfrak{K}$-families:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be C^*-algebras where $\mathcal{B}$ is unital. Let E and F be Hilbert C^*-modules over $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively, and S be a set. If $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is a CPD-kernel.

(ii) There exists a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ consisting of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$, and there exists an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ such that

$$\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) \text{ for all } x \in E, \ \sigma \in S. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Suppose (ii) is given. For each $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ we define $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b) := \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$. The mapping $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel, for

$$\sum_{i,j} c_i^* \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(b_i^* b_j) c_j = \sum_{i,j} c_i^* \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma_i), b_i^* b_j \mathfrak{i}(\sigma_j) \rangle c_j = \left\langle \sum_i b_i \mathfrak{i}(\sigma_i) c_i, \sum_j b_j \mathfrak{i}(\sigma_j) c_j \right\rangle \geq 0$$

for all finite choices of $\sigma_i \in S$, $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Further for $x, x' \in E, \ \sigma, \sigma' \in S$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x') \rangle = \langle \nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)), \nu(x' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')) \rangle = \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x, x' \rangle).$$

So $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family, i.e., (i) holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) is given. By Kolmogorov decomposition for $\mathfrak{K}$ (cf. Theorem 3.2.3 of [BBLS04] and Theorem 4.2 of [Ske11]) we get a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ consisting of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F} = [\{b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c : b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma \in S\}]$. We use symbol $E \bigodot \mathcal{F}$ for the interior tensor product of E and $\mathcal{F}$. Define a linear map $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ by $\nu(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c$ for all $x \in E,\ b \in \mathcal{B},\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), \nu(x' \odot b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle &= \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'b')c'\rangle = c^*\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle xb, x'b'\rangle)c' \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c, (\langle xb, x'b'\rangle)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c'\rangle = \langle x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c, x' \odot b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c'\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x' \in E,\ b, b' \in \mathcal{B},\ c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. Hence ν is an isometry satisfying equation 2.1. This proves "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)" □

We now examine the covariant version of the above theorem. If (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E, then there exists unique C^*-dynamical system $(G, \alpha^\eta, \mathcal{B})$ (cf. p.806 of [Joi11]) such that

$$\alpha^\eta_t(\langle x, y\rangle) = \langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)\rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in E \text{ and } t \in G.$$

Moreover, for all $x \in E$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\eta_t(xb) = \eta_t(x)\alpha^\eta_t(b)$.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ be unital C^*-algebras, and let $u : G \to \mathcal{UC}$ and $u' : G \to \mathcal{UD}$ be unitary representations on a locally compact group G. Let E be a full Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and let F be a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Let S be a set and (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on E. Consider bounded linear maps $\mathcal{K}^\sigma : E \to F$ for $\sigma \in S$. Then the family $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is called* (u', u)-covariant with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E) *if*

$$\mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(x)) = u'_t\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)u^*_t \text{ for each } t \in G,\ \sigma \in S \text{ and } x \in E.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $u : G \to \mathcal{UC}$, $u' : G \to \mathcal{UD}$ be unitary representations of a locally compact group G on unital C^*-algebras $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ respectively. Let E be a full Hilbert C^*-module over a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, F be a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and S be a set. Let $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ be a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. If (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on E, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ *is a (u', u)-covariant $\mathfrak{K}$-family with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E) where $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is a CPD-kernel.*

(ii) There exists a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ consisting of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$, an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ such that

$$\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) \text{ for all } x \in E, \sigma \in S,$$

and unitary representations $v : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(\mathcal{F})$ and $w' : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(E \bigodot \mathcal{F})$ such that

(a) $\pi(\alpha^\eta_t(b)) = v_t\pi(b)v^*_t$ *for all $b \in \mathcal{B}, t \in G$,*

(b) $v_t\mathfrak{i}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_t$ *for all* $t \in G$ *and* $\sigma \in S$,

(c) $w'_t(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \eta_t(x) \odot v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c)$ *for all* $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \in E$, $\sigma \in S$ *and* $t \in G$,

(d) $\nu w'_t = u'_t\nu$ *for all* $t \in G$.

Proof. Suppose statement (ii) is given. The collection $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b) := \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')\rangle$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. Also

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(x)) &= \nu(\eta_t(x) \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \nu(\eta_t(x) \odot v_tv_{t^{-1}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \nu w'_t(x \odot v_{t^{-1}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma))\\ &= u'_t\nu(x \odot v_{t^{-1}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = u'_t\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_{t^{-1}}) = u'_t\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma))u_{t^{-1}} = u'_t\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)u_{t^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in E$, $\sigma \in S$ and $t \in G$. Hence statement (i) holds. Conversely, let us assume that (i) holds. The kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is u-covariant because for each $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha^\eta_t(\langle x, x'\rangle)) &= \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(x')\rangle) = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(x)), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(\eta_t(x'))\rangle\\ &= \langle u'_t\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)u^*_t, u'_t\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')u^*_t\rangle = u_t\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')\rangle u^*_t\\ &= u_t\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x, x'\rangle)u^*_t \text{ for all } x, x' \in E, t \in G.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2 or Kolmogorov decomposition we get a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ consisting of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ where the left action is given by a $*$-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F})$ and a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $[\{b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c : b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma \in S\}] = \mathcal{F}$. Further we have an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ defined by

$$\nu(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c \text{ for all } x \in E,\ b \in \mathcal{B},\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S.$$

For each $t \in G$, set $v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \alpha^\eta_t(b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_tc$ for all $t \in G$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma \in S$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), v_t(b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle &= \langle \alpha^\eta_t(b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_tc, \alpha^\eta_t(b')\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')u_tc'\rangle = (u_tc)^*\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha^\eta_t(b)^*\alpha^\eta_t(b'))u_tc'\\ &= c^*u^*_tu_t\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b^*b')u^*_tu_tc' = \langle b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c, b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c'\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ and $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$. Since α^η_t is an automorphism and u_t is a unitary for each $t \in G$, it is immediate that v_t extends uniquely to a unitary $v_t : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ for each $t \in G$. Because of the continuity of $t \mapsto \alpha^\eta_t(b)$ for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, the continuity of u and the fact that v_t is a unitary for each $t \in G$, it follows that $t \mapsto v_tf$ is continuous for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $v : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(\mathcal{F})$ is a unitary representation. For all $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$, $t \in G$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\alpha^\eta_t(b'))(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) &= (\alpha^\eta_t(b')b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c = v_t(b'\alpha^\eta_{t^{-1}}(b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_{t^{-1}}c)\\ &= v_t\pi(b')(\alpha^\eta_{t^{-1}}(b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_{t^{-1}}c) = v_t\pi(b')v_{t^{-1}}(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c).\end{aligned}$$

Thus v satisfies conditions (a) and (b). For each $t \in G$, define $w'_t : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to E \bigodot \mathcal{F}$ by

$$w'_t(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \eta_t(x) \odot v_tb\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\sigma \in S$, $x \in E$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w'_t(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), w'_t(x' \odot b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle = \langle v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), \langle \eta_t(x), \eta_t(x')\rangle v_t(b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle \\ = \; & \langle v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), \alpha_t^\eta(\langle x, x'\rangle) v_t(b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle = \langle v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c), v_t(\langle x, x'\rangle) b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c')\rangle \\ = \; & \langle b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c, \langle x, x'\rangle b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c'\rangle = \langle x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c, x' \odot b'\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')c'\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$, $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, $x, x' \in E$, $\sigma, \sigma \in S$. Using the strict continuity of v and the continuity of $t \mapsto \eta_t(x)$ for all $x \in E$ we obtain that the map $t \mapsto w'_t z$ is continuous on finite sums of elementary tensors $z \in E \bigodot \mathcal{F}$. Now $\|w'_t\| \leq 1$ implies w' is strictly continuous and therefore a unitary representation. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu w'_t(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) &= \nu(\eta_t(x) \odot v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c)) = \nu(\eta_t(x) \odot \alpha_t^\eta(b)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)u_t c) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(x)\alpha_t^\eta(b))u_t c = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(xb))u_t c = u'_t \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)u_t^* u_t c \\ &= u'_t \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c = u'_t \nu(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \in E$, $\sigma \in S$ and $t \in G$. □

The next corollary proves the uniqueness of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be another C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. For $\sigma \in S$, let $\tilde{\mu}^\sigma : E \to \mathcal{E}$ be maps such that $[\{\tilde{\mu}^\sigma(E)\mathcal{C} : \sigma \in S\}] = \mathcal{E}$ and let $\tilde{\nu} : \mathcal{E} \to F$ be an isometry such that $\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\mu}^\sigma = \mathcal{K}^\sigma$. Then there exists a unitary representation $w''_t : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(\mathcal{E})$ defined by*

$$w''_t(\tilde{\mu}^\sigma(x)c) = \tilde{\mu}^\sigma(\eta_t(x))u_t c \text{ for } x \in E,\ t \in G,\ \sigma \in S \text{ and } c \in \mathcal{C}$$

and a unitary $u : \mathcal{E} \to E \bigodot \mathcal{F}$ defined by $u : \tilde{\mu}^\sigma(x) \mapsto x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma \in S$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ is the Kolmogorov decomposition for kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ such that

(a) $\nu u = \tilde{\nu}$, $uw''_t = w'_t u$ for all $t \in G$ and

(b) $u\tilde{\mu}^\sigma = \mu^\sigma$, where for $\sigma \in S$ the mapping $\mu^\sigma : E \to E \bigodot \mathcal{F}$ is defined by $x \mapsto x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)$.

Proof. For all $x, x' \in E$, $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\mu}^\sigma(\eta_t(x))u_t c, \tilde{\mu}^{\sigma'}(\eta_t(x'))u_t c'\rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(\eta_t(x))u_t c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(\eta_t(x'))u_t c'\rangle \\ = \; & \langle u_t c, \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha_t(\langle x, x'\rangle))u_t c'\rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)c, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')c'\rangle = \langle \tilde{\mu}^\sigma(x)c, \tilde{\mu}^{\sigma'}(x')c'\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore w'' is a unitary representation. □

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra and let G be a locally compact group. Let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E. The crossed product $E \times_\eta G$ (cf. [Kas88],[EKQR00]) is the completion of an inner-product $\mathcal{B} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G$-module $C_c(G, E)$ where the module action and the $\mathcal{B} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G$-valued inner product are given by

$$\begin{aligned} lg(s) &= \int_G l(t)\alpha_t^\eta(g(t^{-1}s))dt, \\ \langle l, m\rangle_{\mathcal{B}\times_{\alpha^\eta} G}(s) &= \int_G \alpha_{t^{-1}}^\eta(\langle l(t), m(ts)\rangle)dt \end{aligned}$$

respectively, for $g \in C_c(G, \mathcal{B})$ and $l, m \in C_c(G, E)$. We derive for any CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ the extendability of a covariant $\mathfrak{K}$-family to that on the crossed product of the Hilbert C^*-module corresponding to the given dynamical system.

Proposition 2.6. *Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel over S from a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ to a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $u : G \to \mathcal{UC}$ and $u' : G \to \mathcal{UD}$ be unitary representations of a locally compact group G. Suppose E is a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, F is a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. If $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a (u', u)-covariant $\mathfrak{K}$-family with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E), then there exists a family of maps $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma : E \times_\eta G \to F$ such that*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma(l) = \int_G \mathcal{K}^\sigma(l(t))u_t dt \text{ for all } l \in C_c(G, E),\ \sigma \in S$$

and there exists a CPD-kernel $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \mathcal{B} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G \to \mathcal{C}$, which satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(f) = \int_G \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(f(t))u_t dt \text{ for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{B}),\ \sigma, \sigma' \in S,$$

such that $\{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$-family.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ be the covariant Kolmogorov decomposition associated with the CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ described in Theorem 2.4. Consider maps $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \mathcal{B} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G \to \mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(f) := \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), (\pi \times v)(f)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle \text{ for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{B}),\ \sigma, \sigma' \in S.$$

Similar computations as in Theorem 2.2 proves that $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$ is a CPD-kernel on S from $\mathcal{B} \times_{\alpha^\eta} G$ to $\mathcal{C}$. For $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(f) &= \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), (\pi \times v)(f)\mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \int_G \pi(f(t))v_t \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') dt \rangle \\
&= \int_G \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \pi(f(t))v_t \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle dt = \int_G \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \pi(f(t))\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')u_t \rangle dt \\
&= \int_G \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \pi(f(t))\mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle u_t dt = \int_G \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(f(t))u_t dt \text{ for all } f \in C_c(G, \mathcal{B}).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality in the above equation array, follows by applying Lemma 1.91 of [Wil07] for a bounded linear map $L : \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{C}$ which is defined as $L(T) := \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), T\mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F})$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma : E \times_\eta G \to F$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma(l) := \int_G \mathcal{K}^\sigma(l(t))u_t dt \text{ for all } \sigma \in S,\ l \in C_c(G, E).$$

From Theorem 2.4 we get an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ such that

$$\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) \text{ for all } x \in E,\ \sigma \in S,$$

and unitary representations $v : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(\mathcal{F})$ and $w' : G \to \mathcal{UB}^a(E \bigodot \mathcal{F})$ satisfying conditions (a)-(d) of the theorem. For all $l \in C_c(G, E)$, $\sigma \in S$ we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma(l) = \int_G \mathcal{K}^\sigma(l(t))u_t dt = \int_G \nu(l(t) \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma))u_t dt = \int_G \nu(l(t) \odot v_t\mathfrak{i}(\sigma))dt.$$

Finally, it follows that $\{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$-family because for $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ and $l, m \in C_c(G, E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\sigma(l), \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\sigma'}(m)\rangle = \left\langle \int_G \nu(l(t) \odot v_t\mathfrak{i}(\sigma))dt, \int_G \nu(m(s) \odot v_s\mathfrak{i}(\sigma'))ds \right\rangle \\
= &\int_G\int_G \langle v_t\mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \pi(\langle l(t), m(ts)\rangle)v_{ts}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')\rangle\, dtds \\
= &\left\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \int_G\int_G v_{t^{-1}}\pi(\langle l(t), m(ts)\rangle)v_{ts}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')dtds \right\rangle \\
= &\left\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \int_G\int_G \pi(\alpha^\eta_{t^{-1}}(\langle l(t), m(ts)\rangle))v_s\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')dtds \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \int_G \pi(\langle l, m\rangle(s))v_s\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')ds \right\rangle \\
= &\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle l, m\rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Characterizations of $\mathfrak{K}$-families

Let E be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. By $M_n(E)$ we denote the Hilbert $M_n(\mathcal{B})$-module where $M_n(\mathcal{B})$-valued inner product is defined by

$$\langle [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n, [x'_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n\rangle := \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_{ki}, x'_{kj}\rangle\right]_{i,j=1}^n \text{ for all } [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n,\ [x'_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(E).$$

Definition 3.1. *Let F be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ and let $T : E \to F$ be a linear map. For each positive integer n, define $T_n : M_n(E) \to M_n(F)$ by*

$$T_n([x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n) := [T(x_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^n \text{ for all } [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(E).$$

We say that T is completely bounded *if for each positive integer n, T_n is bounded and $\|T\|_{cb} := sup_n\|T_n\| < \infty$.*

We show in this section that $\mathfrak{K}$-families, where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel, are same as certain completely bounded maps between the Hilbert C^*-modules. We need the following Hilbert C^*-modules to inspect the extendability of $\mathfrak{K}$- families to CPD-kernels between the (extended) linking algebras of the Hilbert C^*-modules:

The vector space E_n consists of elements $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in E$ for $1 \le i \le n$, where the operations are coordinate-wise. It becomes a Hilbert $M_n(\mathcal{B})$-module with respect to the inner product whose (i, j)-entry is given by

$$\langle (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), (x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_n)\rangle_{ij} := \langle x_i, x'_j\rangle \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), (x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_n) \in E_n.$$

The symbol E^n denotes the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module whose elements are $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^t$ with $x_i \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where ^{t} denotes the transpose. The inner product in E^n is defined by

$$\langle (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^t, (x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_n')^t \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle x_i, x_i' \rangle$$

for $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^t, (x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_n')^t \in E^n$.

From Lemma 3.2.1 of [BBLS04] we know that $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel over S from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ the map $[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]_{i,j=1}^n : M_n(\mathcal{B}) \to M_n(\mathcal{C})$ defined by

$$[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}][b_{ij}] := [\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(b_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^n \text{ for all } [b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$$

is (completely) positive. This realisation of CPD-kernels comes in handy in the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a full Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and let F be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let S be a set and let $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ be a linear map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. Let $F_\mathcal{K} := [\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)c : x \in E,\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S\}]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) There exists unique CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ such that $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family.

(b) $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ extends to block-wise bounded linear maps $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$ from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_\mathcal{K}}$ forming a CPD-kernel over S from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_\mathcal{K}}$, where ϑ is a $*$-homomorphism, i.e., $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a CPD-H-extendable family.

(c) For each finite choices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ the map from E_n to F_n defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathcal{K}^{\sigma_1}(x_1), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_2}(x_2), \ldots, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_n}(x_n)) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n) \in E_n$$

is a completely bounded map. Moreover $F_\mathcal{K}$ can be made into a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{C}$ such that the action of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ on $F_\mathcal{K}$ is non-degenerate and for each $\sigma \in S$, $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a left $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-linear map.

(d) For each finite choices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ the map from E_n to F_n defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathcal{K}^{\sigma_1}(x_1), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_2}(x_2), \ldots, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_n}(x_n)) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n) \in E_n$$

is a completely bounded map and $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ satisfies

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x\langle x', y' \rangle) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'\langle x, y \rangle), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(y') \rangle \text{ for } x, y, x', y' \in E.$$

Proof. (a)⇒(b): Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is unital. Using Theorem 2.2 or Kolmogorov decomposition we get a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ consisting of a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$ such that $[\{b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c : b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, \sigma \in S\}] = \mathcal{F}$, and an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ defined by

$$\nu(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \mathcal{K}^\sigma(xb)c \text{ for all } x \in E,\ b \in \mathcal{B},\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S.$$

We denote the unitary obtained from ν, by restricting its codomain to $F_{\mathcal{K}}$, with ν again. With this unitary ν, define a $*$-homomorphism $\vartheta : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F_{\mathcal{K}})$ by $\vartheta : a \mapsto \nu(a \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^*$. Identify $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ using $f \mapsto L_f$ where $L_f : c \mapsto fc$ and identify $\mathcal{B} \bigodot \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}$ using $b \odot f \mapsto bf$. For each $x, x' \in E$, f and $f' \in \mathcal{F}$, and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (x \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})^*(x' \odot f), b \odot f' \rangle &= \langle x' \odot f, xb \odot f' \rangle = \langle f, \langle x', xb \rangle f' \rangle = \langle f, \langle x', x \rangle bf' \rangle \\ &= \langle x^*x'f, bf' \rangle = \langle x^*x' \odot f, b \odot f' \rangle \\ &= \langle (x^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})(x' \odot f), b \odot f' \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(x \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})^* = (x^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})$, for $x \in E$.

For each $\sigma \in S$, the element $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma) & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}^a\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C} \\ F_{\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} \\ E \end{pmatrix} \bigodot \mathcal{F}\right)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)^* & \\ & \nu \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} b & x^* \\ y & a \end{pmatrix} \bigodot id_{\mathcal{F}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)^* & \\ & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') & (x^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^* \\ y \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') & (a \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)^*(b \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')) & \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)^*(x \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})^*\nu^* \\ \nu(y \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')) & \nu(a \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^* \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}, x$ and $y \in E, a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$, and σ and $\sigma' \in S$. Thus, we get a CPD-kernel on S from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_{\mathcal{K}}}$ formed by maps $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma) & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix}^* (\bullet \odot id_{\mathcal{F}}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*}(x^*) := \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x)^*$ for $\sigma \in S, x \in E$.

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is not unital. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the unitalizations of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a contractive approximate unit for $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\delta : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the unique character vanishing on $\mathcal{B}$. For each σ, σ' define $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b) := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(1_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}) := \|\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}\| 1_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ define $\mathfrak{K}_\lambda^{\sigma,\sigma'} := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(e_\lambda^* \bullet e_\lambda) + (\|\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}\| 1_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} - \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(e_\lambda^* e_\lambda))\delta$. Mappings $\mathfrak{K}_\lambda$s are CPD-kernels and $(\mathfrak{K}_\lambda^{\sigma,\sigma'})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges pointwise to $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$. We conclude that $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$ is a CPD-kernel. Note that $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is also a $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$-family, and E and F are also Hilbert C^*-modules over $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, respectively. Extend $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in S}$ to a CPD-kernel over S from $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{B}} & E^* \\ E & \mathcal{B}^a(E) \end{pmatrix}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_{\mathcal{K}}}$ as above. Restricting this CPD-kernel to $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} & E^* \\ E & \mathcal{B}^a(E) \end{pmatrix}$ yields the required CPD-kernel.

(b)⇒(c): Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ define a linear map $\mathbf{K}$ from E_n to F_n by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathcal{K}^{\sigma_1}(x_1), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_2}(x_2), \ldots, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_n}(x_n)) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n) \in E_n.$$

Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $[\mathbf{x}_{ms}]_{m,s=1}^l \in M_l(E_n)$ where $\mathbf{x}_{ms} = (x_{ms,1}, x_{ms,2}, \ldots, x_{ms,n}) \in E_n$. Set

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define B_{mk} and C_{mk} as the matrix A where $a_i = \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_i}(x_{mk,i})$ and $a_i = x_{mk,i}$ respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{K}_l([\mathbf{x}_{ms}]_{m,s=1}^l)\|^2 = \|[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{ms})]_{m,s=1}^l\|^2 = \|\langle[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{ms})]_{m,s=1}^l, [\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{ms})]_{m,s=1}^l\rangle\| \\
&= \left\| \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l} \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{km}), \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_{ks}) \rangle \right]_{m,s=1}^{l} \right\| = \left\| \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l} [\langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_i}(x_{km,i}), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_j}(x_{ks,j}) \rangle]_{i,j=1}^{n} \right]_{m,s=1}^{l} \right\| \\
&= \|[\sum_{k=1}^{l} B_{km}^* B_{ks}]_{m,s=1}^l\| = \|[B_{ms}]_{m,s=1}^l\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_i^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_j} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \right] C_{ms} \right]_{m,s=1}^{l} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_i^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_j} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \right]_l \right\|^2 \left\| [\mathbf{x}_{ms}]_{m,s=1}^l \right\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where 2×2 matrices with round brackets are elements of the linking algebras. Therefore from Lemma 3.2.1 of [BBLS04] it follows that $\mathbf{K}$ is completely bounded. Let $\mathcal{D} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}^a(E) \end{pmatrix}$ be a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E$ with the unit $1_{\mathcal{D}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & id_E \end{pmatrix}$. We denote by θ the $*$-homomorphism which is the restriction of $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is unital because if $\mathcal{B}$ is not unital, then we can unitalize it and work as in the proof of "(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)". Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ be the Kolmogorov decomposition for the CPD-kernel $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$ where $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. For each $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|d\mathfrak{i}(\sigma) - 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta(d)\|^2 \\
=&\|\langle d\mathfrak{i}(\sigma), d\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\rangle - \langle d\mathfrak{i}(\sigma), 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta(d)\rangle - \langle 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta(d), d\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\rangle + \langle 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta(d), 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta(d)\rangle\| \\
=&\|\theta(d^*d) - \theta(d^*d) - \theta(d^*d) + \theta(d^*d)\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for each $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ and for all $x \in E$, $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(ax) & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}^* \right) \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)\theta \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}^* \right), \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vartheta(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vartheta(a)\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}$ is a left $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-linear map for each $\sigma' \in S$ and ϑ is non-degenerate. Observe that the Hilbert C^*-module $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{C}$ with the left

action is given by ϑ.
(c)$\Leftrightarrow$(d): If $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a left $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-linear map for each $\sigma \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x\langle x', y'\rangle)\rangle &= \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x\ x'^* y')\rangle = \langle (x\ x'^*)^* \mathcal{K}^\sigma(y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(y')\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'x^* y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(y')\rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'\langle x, y\rangle), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(y')\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, x', y' \in E$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. Conversely using the equation in condition (d), we define an action ϑ on $F_{\mathcal{K}}$, of the algebra $\mathcal{F}(E)$ of all finite rank operators on E, by

$$\vartheta(x'x^*)\mathcal{K}^\sigma(y) := \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'x^* y) \text{ for all } x, x', y \in E.$$

Since ϑ is bounded on $\mathcal{F}(E)$, it extends naturally as an adjointable action of $\mathcal{K}(E)$ on $F_{\mathcal{K}}$. Since E is full, we can obtain an approximate unit $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k_\lambda} \langle x_n^\lambda, y_n^\lambda\rangle\right)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ for $\mathcal{B}$ where $x_n^\lambda,\ y_n^\lambda \in E$. Using this approximate unit, it follows that ϑ is non-degenerate. We can further extend this action to an action of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ on $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ (cf. Proposition 2.1 of [Lan95]).
(c)$\Rightarrow$(a): Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebraic tensor product ${E_n}^* \underline{\odot} E_n = \text{span}\langle E_n, E_n\rangle$ (cf. Proposition 4.5 of [Lan95]). Note that ${E_n}^* \underline{\odot} E_n$ is a dense subset of $M_n(\mathcal{B})$. Set $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ and let $\mathbf{K}$ be defined as above. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\mathbf{K}^k : (E_n)^k \to (F_n)^k$ by

$$\mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{x}^k) := (\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_1), \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_k))^t \text{ where } \mathbf{x}^k = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k)^t \in (E_n)^k.$$

Define a linear map $[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]_{i,j=1}^n : {E_n}^* \underline{\odot} E_n \to M_n(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]\left(\sum_{l=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l\rangle\right) := \langle \mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{y}^k)\rangle$$

where $\mathbf{x}^k = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_k)^t$, $\mathbf{y}^k = (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_k)^t \in (E_n)^k$ (i.e., $\langle \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^k\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\rangle$).
First, we prove that $[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]$ is bounded. We have

$$\left\|[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]\left(\sum_{l=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l\rangle\right)\right\| = \|\langle \mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{x}^k), \mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{y}^k)\rangle\| \leq \|\mathbf{K}\|_{cb}^2 \|\mathbf{x}^k\| \|\mathbf{y}^k\|.$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ we decompose $\mathbf{x}^{k*}$ as $\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha$ (cf. Lemma 4.4 of [Lan95]; Lemma 2.9 of [SS14]) where $\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k := |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^{1-\alpha}$. So as $\alpha \to 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{l=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l\rangle\right\| &= \|\langle \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^k\rangle\| = \|\mathbf{x}^{k*} \odot \mathbf{y}^k\| = \|\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \odot \mathbf{y}^k\| = \|\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k \odot |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \mathbf{y}^k\| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k\| \| |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \mathbf{y}^k\| \to \| |\mathbf{x}^{k*}| \mathbf{y}^k\| = \|\langle \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^k\rangle\|.\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation array we have used the facts that $\|\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k\| = sup_{\lambda\in\sigma(|\mathbf{x}^{k*}|)} \lambda^{1-\alpha} = \|\mathbf{x}^{k*}\|^{1-\alpha} \to 1$ and $|\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha$ converges in norm to $|\mathbf{x}^{k*}|$. We deduce that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists α such that

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\alpha^k\| \| |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \mathbf{y}^k\| \leq \left\|\sum_{l=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l\rangle\right\| + \epsilon.$$

Let $\mathbf{x}'^k := \mathbf{w}_\alpha^{k*} \in (E_n)^k$ and $\mathbf{y}'^k = |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \mathbf{y}^k \in (E_n)^k$. Then $\|\langle \mathbf{x}'^k, \mathbf{y}'^k\rangle\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}'^k\|\|\mathbf{y}'^k\| \leq \left\|\sum_{l=1}^{k}\langle \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l\rangle\right\| + \epsilon$ and

$$\langle \mathbf{x}'^k, \mathbf{y}'^k\rangle = \mathbf{x}'^{k*} \odot \mathbf{y}'^k = \mathbf{x}'^{k*} \odot \mathbf{y}'^k = \mathbf{w}_\alpha^k \odot |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \mathbf{y}^k = \mathbf{w}_\alpha^k |\mathbf{x}^{k*}|^\alpha \odot \mathbf{y}^k = \langle \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^k\rangle.$$

Therefore $[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}]$ is bounded.

Because E_n is full, as in the case $(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$, we can get the approximate unit $e_\lambda = \langle \mathbf{X}_\lambda, \mathbf{Y}_\lambda\rangle$ for $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ where $\mathbf{X}_\lambda = (\mathbf{x}_1^\lambda, \mathbf{x}_2^\lambda, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{k_\lambda}^\lambda)^t$, $\mathbf{Y}_\lambda = (\mathbf{y}_1^\lambda, \mathbf{y}_2^\lambda, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{k_\lambda}^\lambda)^t \in (E_n)^{k_\lambda}$. Let B be a positive elements in $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ and let t_λ be the positive square root of the rank one operator $\mathbf{X}_\lambda B \mathbf{X}_\lambda^*$ in $\mathcal{K}((E_n)^{k_\lambda})$. Finally, using $e_\lambda^* B e_\lambda \overset{\lambda}{\to} B$ in norm and

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}](e_\lambda^* B e_\lambda) =& [\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}](\mathbf{Y}_\lambda^* \mathbf{X}_\lambda B \mathbf{X}_\lambda^* \mathbf{Y}_\lambda) = [\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}](\langle t_\lambda \mathbf{Y}_\lambda, t_\lambda \mathbf{Y}_\lambda\rangle) \\ =& \langle \mathbf{K}^{k_\lambda}(t_\lambda \mathbf{Y}_\lambda), \mathbf{K}^{k_\lambda}(t_\lambda \mathbf{X}_\lambda)\rangle \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

we infer that $[\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}](B) \geq 0$. □

Let G be a locally compact group. Suppose E is a full Hilbert C^*-module over a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and (G, η, E) is a dynamical system on E. We define a C^*-dynamical system on the linking algebra $\mathcal{L}_E$ as follows: For each $s \in G$, let us define $\mathrm{Ad}\eta_s(a) := \eta_s a \eta_{s^{-1}}$ for $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and define $\eta_s^*(x^*) := \eta_s(x)^*$ for $x \in E$. Denote by θ the action of G on $\mathcal{L}_E$ which is given by

$$\theta_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} b & x^* \\ y & a\end{pmatrix}\right) := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_s^\eta(b) & \eta_s^*(x^*) \\ \eta_s(y) & Ad\eta_s a\end{pmatrix}$$

for all $s \in G$, $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x, y \in E$. It is easy to check that we obtain a C^*-dynamical system $(G, \theta, \mathcal{L}_E)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let E be a full Hilbert C^*-module over a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and let F be a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are unital C^*-algebras. Let $u : G \to \mathcal{UC}$, $u' : G \to \mathcal{UD}$ be unitary representations of a locally compact group G and let (G, η, E) be a dynamical system on E. Assume S to be a set and $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ to be a linear map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. Let $F_\mathcal{K} := [\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)c : x \in E,\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S\}]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) There exists unique CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ such that $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a (u', u)-covariant $\mathfrak{K}$-family with respect to the dynamical system (G, η, E).

(b) $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ extends to block-wise bounded linear maps $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta\end{pmatrix}$ from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_\mathcal{K}}$ forming a CPD-kernel over S from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_{F_\mathcal{K}}$, where ϑ is a $*$-homomorphism, i.e., $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a CPD-H-extendable family. The family is ω-covariant with respect to $(G, \theta, \mathcal{L}_E)$ where $\omega : G \to \mathcal{UL}_{F_\mathcal{K}}$ is a unitary representation.

(c) *For each finite choices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ the map from E_n to F_n defined by*

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathcal{K}^{\sigma_1}(x_1), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_2}(x_2), \ldots, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_n}(x_n)) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n) \in E_n$$

is a completely bounded map. Moreover $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is (u', u)-covariant with respect to (G, η, E), $F_\mathcal{K}$ is a correspondence from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{C}$ such that the action of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ on $F_\mathcal{K}$ is non-degenerate and for each $\sigma \in S$, $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a left $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$-linear map.

(d) *For each finite choices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in S$ the map from E_n to F_n defined by*

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathcal{K}^{\sigma_1}(x_1), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_2}(x_2), \ldots, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma_n}(x_n)) \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n) \in E_n$$

is a completely bounded map and $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is (u', u)-covariant with respect to (G, η, E) satisfying

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(y), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x\langle x', y'\rangle)\rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'\langle x, y\rangle), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(y')\rangle \text{ for } x, y, x', y' \in E.$$

Proof. We use the same notations as in the proof of part (a)⇒(b) of the previous theorem. For each $s \in G$ define a map $\omega_s : \mathcal{L}_F \to \mathcal{L}_F$ by

$$\omega_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & x^* \\ y & a \end{pmatrix}\right) := \begin{pmatrix} u_s c & u_s x^* \\ u'_s y & u'_s a \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $x, y \in F$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(F)$. The mapping $\omega : G \to \mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}_F$ is a unitary representation. Using Theorem 2.4 we obtain a unitary representation $w' : G \to \mathcal{U}\mathcal{B}^a(E \bigodot \mathcal{F})$ defined by

$$w'_t(x \odot b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c) := \eta_t(x) \odot v_t(b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c)$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \in E$, $\sigma \in S$ and $t \in G$. Further it satisfies $\nu w'_t = u'_t \nu$ for all $t \in G$. Thus we have

$$\vartheta(\eta_s a \eta_{s^{-1}}) = \nu((\eta_s a \eta_{s^{-1}}) \odot id_\mathcal{F})\nu^* = \nu w'_s(a \odot id_\mathcal{F}) w'_{s^{-1}}\nu^* = u'_s \vartheta(a) u'_{s^{-1}}$$

for all $s \in G$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \left(\theta_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} b & x^* \\ y & a \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\alpha^\eta_s(b)) & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*}(\eta^*_s(x^*)) \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(\eta_s(y)) & \vartheta(Ad\eta_s a) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \omega_s \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} b & x^* \\ y & a \end{pmatrix}\right) \omega^*_s \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in G$, $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ and $x, y \in E$. □

4 Application to the dilation theory of CPD-kernels

Suppose E and F are Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel. Let $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ be a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where $\mathcal{K}^\sigma$ is a map from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$. Recall that there exists the

Kolmogorov decomposition $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ of $\mathfrak{K}$. From Theorem 2.2 it follows that there is an isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ such that

$$\nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x) \text{ for all } x \in E,\ \sigma \in S.$$

If $F_{\mathcal{K}}$ is complemented in F, then we obtain a $*$-homomorphism ϑ from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ defined by $\nu(\bullet \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^*$. Also, if ξ is a unit vector in E, i.e., $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1$, then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \xi \bullet \xi^*}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \langle \nu(\xi \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)), \bullet \nu(\xi \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')) \rangle} \\ \mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathcal{B}^a(F) \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

Here $b \mapsto \xi b \xi^*$ is a representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on E. In fact, to obtain the above commuting diagram, it is sufficient to assume that there exist a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, a map $\mathfrak{i} : S \to \mathcal{F}$, a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module E, an adjointable isometry $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F} \to F$ and a unit vector $\xi \in E$. For this we set $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} := \langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), \bullet \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') \rangle$ for $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ and $\vartheta := \nu(\bullet \odot id_{\mathcal{F}})\nu^*$.

If $\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)$'s are also unit vectors, then $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ is a unital map for each $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$, and in this case we say that kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is *Markov* and the dilation ϑ of $\mathfrak{K}$ is a *weak dilation*. Change the map $\xi \bullet \xi^*$ by the map $\langle \xi, \bullet \xi \rangle$ and reverse the arrow of this map. Now substitute $\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(\xi) = \nu(\xi \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma))$ in the above diagram to get the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \langle \xi, \bullet \xi \rangle}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(\xi), \bullet \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(\xi) \rangle} \\ \mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathcal{B}^a(F) \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

This motivates us to introduce a notion of dilation of a CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ over S whenever there is a family of maps $\{\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in S}$ between some Hilbert C^*-modules and there is a similar commuting diagram as above.

Definition 4.1. *Let E and F be Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*- algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel. A $*$-homomorphism $\vartheta : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ is a* CPDH-dilation *of $\mathfrak{K}$ if E is full and if there is a linear map $\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}$ from E to F for each $\sigma \in S$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \langle x, \bullet x' \rangle}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \langle \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x), \bullet \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x') \rangle} \\ \mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathcal{B}^a(F) \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

commutes for all $x, x' \in E$. The CPDH-dilation ϑ is called

(a) quasi-dilation *if E is not necessarily full.*

(b) strict *if the $*$-homomorphism ϑ is strict.*

(c) CPDH_0-dilation *if ϑ is a unital $*$-homomorphism.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let ϑ be a CPDH_0-quasi-dilation of a CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. If $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a family of maps from E to F such that the Diagram 4.3 commutes, then $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where*

$$\mathcal{K}^\sigma(ax) = \vartheta(a)\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) \text{ for } x \in E,\ a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E),\ \sigma \in S.$$

Proof. Since the Diagram 4.3 commutes, for $x \in E$, $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$ we get

$$\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \vartheta(a)\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')\rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x), \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(ax')\rangle. \tag{4.4}$$

As ϑ is unital, $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family. So by setting $F_\mathcal{K} := [\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(e)c : e \in E,\ c \in \mathcal{C},\ \sigma \in S\}]$ and using equation 4.4 we get a $*$-homomorphism $\vartheta_\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F_\mathcal{K})$ which is defined by $\vartheta_\mathcal{K}(a)\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(ax)$ for $x \in E,\ a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E),\ \sigma, \sigma' \in S$. We get

$$\langle y, \vartheta_\mathcal{K}(a)y'\rangle = \langle y, \vartheta(a)y'\rangle \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E) \text{ and } y, y' \in F_\mathcal{K}.$$

Thus, $\vartheta(a)y = \vartheta_\mathcal{K}(a)y$ for all $y \in F_\mathcal{K}$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$. □

Definition 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel. A family of maps $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ from E to F is called* (strict) CPDH_0-family*, if it extends as a CPD-kernel over S from $\mathcal{L}_E$ to $\mathcal{L}_F$ whose $(2,2)$-corner is a unital (strict) $*$-homomorphism.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be unital. If ϑ is a strict CPDH_0-dilation of a CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a family of maps from E to F such that the Diagram 4.3 commutes, then $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a strict CPDH_0-family.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{i})$ be the Kolmogorov decomposition of the CPD-kernel $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. Because ϑ is a strict unital homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ into $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ using the representation theorem (Theorem 1.4) of [MSS06], we obtain a C^*-correspondence $\mathcal{F}_\vartheta := E^* \bigodot_\vartheta F$ from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ and a unitary $\nu : E \bigodot \mathcal{F}_\vartheta \to F$ defined by

$$\nu(x' \odot (x^* \odot y)) := \vartheta(x'x^*)y \text{ for all } x, x' \in E \text{ and } y \in F$$

such that we obtain $\vartheta = \nu(\bullet \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^*$. It is immediate from Proposition 4.2 that the map from $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{K}$ onto $E^* \bigodot F_\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}_\vartheta$ defined by $\langle x, x'\rangle\mathfrak{i}(\sigma) \mapsto x^* \odot \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x')$ for all $x, x' \in E$ and $\sigma \in S$, is a bilinear unitary. Now we identify $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathcal{F}_\vartheta$ and we have $\mathfrak{i}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{F}_\vartheta$ for all $\sigma \in S$. Further, we get

$$\nu(x \odot \langle x', x''\rangle\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)) = \nu(x \odot (x'^* \odot \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x''))) = \vartheta(xx'^*)\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x'') = \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x\langle x', x''\rangle)$$

for all $x, x', x'' \in E$, where the last equality follows from Proposition 4.2. Since E is full and $\mathcal{B}$ is unital, we get $\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) = \nu(x \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma))$ for $x \in E$.

For each $\sigma \in S$ we have $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma) & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}^r\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C} \\ F \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} \\ E \end{pmatrix} \odot \mathcal{F}_\vartheta\right)$. Since $\left(\begin{pmatrix} b & x^* \\ x' & a \end{pmatrix} \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta}\right)\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma) & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} c \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b\mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c + (x^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y \\ x' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma)c + (a \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\left\langle \left(\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & x_1^* \\ x_1' & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta}\right)\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma) & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \left(\begin{pmatrix} b_2 & x_2^* \\ x_2' & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta}\right)\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}(\sigma') & \\ & \nu^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c_1^*\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), b_1^* b_2 \zeta_j\rangle c_2 + c_1^*\langle \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), b_1^*(x_2^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_2\rangle + \langle (x_1^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_1, b_2\mathfrak{i}(\sigma')\rangle c_2 \\
&\quad + \langle (x_1^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_1, (x_2^* \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_2\rangle + c_1^*\langle x_1' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), x_2' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')\rangle c_2 \\
&\quad + c_1^*\langle x_1' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma), (a_2 \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_2\rangle + \langle (a_1 \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_1, x_2' \odot \mathfrak{i}(\sigma')\rangle c_2 \\
&\quad + \langle (a_1 \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_1, (a_2 \odot id_{\mathcal{F}_\vartheta})\nu^* y_2\rangle \\
&= c_1^*\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b_1^* b_2)c_2 + c_1^*\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(x_2 b_1), y_2\rangle + \langle y_1, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x_1 b_2)\rangle c_2 + \langle y_1, \vartheta(x_1 x_2^*) y_2\rangle \\
&\quad + c_1^*\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\langle x_1', x_2'\rangle)c_2 + c_1^*\langle \mathcal{K}^\sigma(a_2^* x_1'), y_2\rangle + \langle y_1, \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(a_1^* x_2')\rangle c_2 + \langle y_1, \vartheta(a_1^* a_2) y_2\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix}\left(\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & x_1^* \\ x_1' & a_1 \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & x_2^* \\ x_2' & a_2 \end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_1', x_2' \in E,\ b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B},\ c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C},\ y_1, y_2 \in F,\ a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{B}^a(E)$. Therefore $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma'} & \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$ forms a CPD-kernel and hence $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a strictly CPDH$_0$-family. □

We further generalize the notion of CPDH-dilation as follows:

Definition 4.5. *Suppose E and F are Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a CPD-kernel over the set E from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a CPD-kernel over the set $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) : \sigma \in S, x \in E\}$ from $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ to $\mathcal{C}$. A homomorphism $\vartheta : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ is called a* generalized CPDH-dilation *of $\mathfrak{K}$ if E is full and if $\{\mathcal{K}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in S}$ is a collection of linear maps from E to F such that the following diagram commutes for all $x, x' \in E$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{P}^{x,x'}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{L}^{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x),\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x')}} \\
\mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathcal{B}^a(F)
\end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

The generalized CPDH-dilation θ is called quasi-dilation *if E is not necessarily full.*

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a CPD-kernel over the set $S' = \{\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x) : \sigma \in S, x \in E\}$ from a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. We get the Kolmogorov decomposition $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ such that

$$\langle \mathfrak{i}(y), a\mathfrak{i}(y')\rangle = \mathfrak{L}^{y,y'}(a) \text{ for all } y, y' \in S',\ a \in \mathcal{B}^a(F)$$

and $\mathcal{F} = [\{a\mathfrak{i}(y)c : a \in \mathcal{B}^a(F),\ y \in S',\ c \in C\}]$. Hence we get

$$\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}(\mathfrak{P}^{x,x'}(a)) = \langle \mathfrak{i}(\mathcal{K}^\sigma(x)), \vartheta(a)\mathfrak{i}(\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'))\rangle$$

for each $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$, $x, x' \in E$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(F)$. We denote the homomorphism which gives the left action on $\mathcal{F}$ by $\theta : \mathcal{B}^a(F) \to \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F})$. Observe that the following diagram commutes for all $x, x' \in E$ and $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathfrak{P}^{x,x'}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \langle \mathfrak{i}(\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x)), \bullet \mathfrak{i}(\mathcal{K}^{\sigma'}(x'))\rangle} \\ \mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\theta \circ \vartheta} & \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose E and F are Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. Let $\mathfrak{K} : S \times S \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ be a CPD-kernel. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a CPD-kernel over the set E from $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ to $\mathcal{B}$ defined by $\mathfrak{P}^{x,x'} := \langle x, \bullet x' \rangle$ where $x, x' \in E$ and let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a CPD-kernel over the set $\{\mathcal{K}^{\sigma}(x) : \sigma \in S, x \in E\}$ from $\mathcal{B}^a(F)$ to $\mathcal{C}$. If $\vartheta : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ is a generalized quasi-CPDH-dilation of $\mathfrak{K}$ with respect to CPD-kernels $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$, then $\theta \circ \vartheta : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-CPDH-dilation of $\mathfrak{K}$ with respect to maps $\{\mathfrak{i} \circ \mathcal{K}^{\sigma} : E \to \mathcal{F}\}_{\sigma \in S}$ where $(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{i})$ is the Kolmogorov decomposition of $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\theta : \mathcal{B}^a(F) \to \mathcal{B}^a(\mathcal{F})$ is a homomorphism which gives the left action on $\mathcal{F}$.*

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra. Given two CPD-kernels $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ over a set S on $\mathcal{B}$, we define the *Schur product* as the kernel $\mathfrak{K} \circ \mathfrak{L}$ over S on $\mathcal{B}$ by $(\mathfrak{K} \circ \mathfrak{L})^{\sigma,\sigma'} := \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \circ \mathfrak{L}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ for $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$. Using the Kolmogorov decomposition it is clear that the kernel $\mathfrak{K} \circ \mathfrak{L}$ over S on $\mathcal{B}$ is a CPD-kernel. Let us denote by $\mathbb{T}$ the semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0$ or $\mathbb{R}_+$. A collection of CPD-kernels $\{\mathfrak{K}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ over S on $\mathcal{B}$ forms a *semigroup of CPD-kernels* or *CPD-semigroup* if $\mathfrak{K}_t \circ \mathfrak{K}_s := \mathfrak{K}_{t+s}$ for $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$. The semigroup is denoted by $\mathfrak{K} = (\mathfrak{K}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$. We define similar notion of dilation for semigroups of CPD-kernels, as given above for CPD-kernels. The theory of CP-semigroups finds significant applications in quantum statistical mechanics, quantum probability theory, etc. and many of the aspects of this theory can be extended to CPD-semigroups.

Definition 4.7. *Let E be a Hilbert C^*-module on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, S be a set and $\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma}$ be a map from E to E for each $\sigma \in S$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. A semigroup $\{\{\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in S} : t \in \mathbb{T}\}$ is called*

(a) *a* CPD-semigroup *on E if it extends to a semigroup of CPD-kernels $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{K}_t^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma^*} \\ \mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma'} & \vartheta_t \end{pmatrix}$ acting block-wise on the linking algebra of E.*

(b) *a* CPDH-semigroup *on E if it is a CPD-semigroup where ϑ_t can be chosen to form an E-semigroup and for each t, the kernel $\{\mathfrak{K}_t^{\sigma,\sigma'} : \sigma, \sigma \in S\}$ can be chosen such that $\{\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in S}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}_t$-family.*

Definition 4.8. *Let E be a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Let S be a set and let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a CPD-semigroup over a set S on $\mathcal{B}$. A semigroup of $*$-homomorphisms $\vartheta_t : \mathcal{B}^a(E) \to \mathcal{B}^a(F)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called a* CPDH-dilation *of $\mathfrak{K}$ if E is full and if there exists CPDH-semigroup on E consisting of linear maps $\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma} : E \to E$ for each $\sigma \in S$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{K}_t^{\sigma,\sigma'}} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \langle x,\bullet x'\rangle}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \langle \mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma}(x),\bullet\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma'}(x')\rangle} \\ \mathcal{B}^a(E) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_t} & \mathcal{B}^a(F) \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

commutes for all $x, x' \in E$. We say that the CPDH-dilation is quasi-dilation *if E is not necessarily full. Further if each ϑ_t is strict, then we call a dilation* strict. *We say that the CPDH-(quasi-) dilation is* CPDH_0-(quasi-) dilation *if each ϑ_t is unital.*

Now we construct a CPDH-dilation for a given CPD-semigroup using the concept of product systems: Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra and for each $t \in \mathbb{T}$, E_t be a C^*-correspondence from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ with $E_0 = \mathcal{B}$. The family $E^{\odot} = (E_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ is called a *product system of C^*-correspondences* if there exists an associative product

$$(x_s, y_t) \mapsto x_s y_t := u_{s,t}(x_s \odot y_t) \in E_{s+t} \text{ for } x_s \in E_s, y_t \in E_t,\ s,t \in \mathbb{T};$$

where $u_{s,t} : E_s \bigodot E_t \to E_{s+t}$ are bilinear unitaries, and for each $t \in \mathbb{T}$ maps $u_{0,t}$ and $u_{t,0}$ are left and right actions, respectively. A *unit* of the product system $E^{\odot}$ is a family $\xi^{\sigma\odot} = (\xi_t^{\sigma})_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ for each $\sigma \in S$ satisfying $\xi_s^{\sigma}\xi_t^{\sigma} = \xi_{s+t}^{\sigma}$ for $s,t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let E be a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. A left dilation of $E^{\odot}$ to E is a family of unitaries $\nu_t : E \bigodot E_t \to E$ which satisfy the associativity condition $\nu_t(\nu_s(x \odot y_s) \odot z_t) = \nu_{s+t}(x \odot u_{s,t}(y_s \odot z_t))$. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a CPD-semigroup over a set S on a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. In Section 4.3 of [BBLS04] it is shown that there exists a product system $E^{\odot} = (E_t)_{t\in\mathbb{T}}$ of $\mathcal{B}$-correspondences and there exists a unit $\xi^{\sigma\odot}$ in $E^{\odot}$ such that $\langle \xi_t^{\sigma}, b\xi_t^{\sigma'}\rangle = \mathfrak{K}_t^{\sigma,\sigma'}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B},\ \sigma,\sigma' \in S,\ t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let E denote the inductive limit over E_t. For every fix $t \in \mathbb{T}$, the unitary $\nu_t : E \bigodot E_t \to E$ is obtained as a limit of unitaries $u_{s,t} : E_s \bigodot E_t \to E_{s+t}$ as $s \to \infty$. These unitaries ν_t form a left dilation of $E^{\odot}$ to E (cf. Theorem 2.2 of [Ske07]). Therefore ϑ on $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$ defined as $\vartheta_t(a) = \nu_t(a \odot id_t)\nu_t$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}^a(E), t \in \mathbb{T}$, is an E_0-semigroup. Note that if we set $\mathcal{K}_t^{\sigma}(x) := \nu_t(x \odot \xi_t^{\sigma})$ for all $\sigma \in S$, then the Diagram 4.6 commutes.

Acknowledgements: The second author would like to thank K. Sumesh for several discussions.

References

[AK01] L. Accardi and S. V. Kozyrev, *On the structure of Markov flows*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **12** (2001), no. 14-15, 2639–2655, Irreversibility, probability and complexity (Les Treilles/Clausthal, 1999). MR 1857648 (2002h:46110)

[BBLS04] Stephen D. Barreto, B. V. Rajarama Bhat, Volkmar Liebscher, and Michael Skeide, *Type I product systems of Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 1, 121–181. MR 2065240 (2005d:46147)

[BRS12] B. V. Rajarama Bhat, G. Ramesh, and K. Sumesh, *Stinespring's theorem for maps on Hilbert C^*-modules*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 1, 173–178. MR 2966040

[EKQR00] Siegfried Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, John Quigg, and Iain Raeburn, *Naturality and induced representations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **61** (2000), no. 3, 415–438. MR 1762638 (2001j:46101)

[Heo99] Jaeseong Heo, *Completely multi-positive linear maps and representations on Hilbert C^*-modules*, J. Operator Theory **41** (1999), no. 1, 3–22. MR 1675235 (2000a:46103)

[Joi11] Maria Joiţa, *Covariant version of the Stinespring type theorem for Hilbert C^*-modules*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9** (2011), no. 4, 803–813. MR 2805314 (2012f:46110)

[Kas88] G. G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201. MR 918241 (88j:58123)

[Lan95] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, A toolkit for operator algebraists. MR 1325694 (96k:46100)

[MSS06] Paul S. Muhly, Michael Skeide, and Baruch Solel, *Representations of $\mathcal{B}^a(E)$*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **9** (2006), no. 1, 47–66. MR 2214501 (2006m:46074)

[Pas73] William L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468. MR 0355613 (50 #8087)

[Rie74] Marc A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^*-algebras*, Advances in Math. **13** (1974), 176–257. MR 0353003 (50 #5489)

[Ske01] Michael Skeide, *Hilbert modules and applications in quantum probability*, Habilitationsschrift (2001).

[Ske07] ———, *E_0-semigroups for continuous product systems*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **10** (2007), no. 3, 381–395. MR 2354367 (2009b:46138)

[Ske11] ———, *Hilbert modules—square roots of positive maps*, Quantum probability and related topics, QP–PQ: Quantum Probab. White Noise Anal., vol. 27, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2011, pp. 296–322. MR 2799131

[Ske12] ———, *A factorization theorem for ϕ-maps*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 2, 543–547. MR 2995734

[SS14] Michael Skeide and K. Sumesh, *CP-H-extendable maps between Hilbert modules and CPH-semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), no. 2, 886–913. MR 3168002

[Wil07] Dana P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^*-algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR 2288954 (2007m:46003)

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India
Email address: dey@math.iitb.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India
Email address: harsh@math.iitb.ac.in